

1 BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)  
United States Attorney

2 BARBARA J. VALLIERE (DCBN 439353)  
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 SHIAO LEE (CABN 257413)  
Assistant United States Attorney

5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
6 San Francisco, California 94102-3495  
7 Telephone: (415) 436-6924  
8 FAX: (415) 436-7234  
shiao.lee@usdoj.gov

9 Attorneys for United States of America

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	No. CR 17-294 RS
14 Plaintiff,	)	
15 v.	)	STIPULATION AND <del>PROPOSED</del> ORDER TO
16 BRYAN ADAMS,	)	CONTINUE THE CASE AND EXCLUDE TIME
17 Defendants.	)	
18	)	
19	)	

20 This case is next on the Court's calendar for March 27, 2018 for a change of plea hearing. The  
21 parties are in discussion regarding a possible resolution in this case and require the additional time of  
22 one week. The parties agree and jointly request for the case to be put over to April 3, 2018 for either a  
23 change of plea hearing or trial setting date. The parties agree and jointly request that the time between  
24 March 27, 2018 and April 3, 2018 be excluded in order to provide reasonable time necessary for the  
25 effective preparation of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties agree that the  
26 ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the  
27 defendant in a speedy trial.

1 DATED: March 26, 2018

Respectfully Submitted,

2 BRIAN J. STRETCH  
3 United States Attorney

4 /s/ Shiao Lee  
5 SHIAO LEE  
6 Assistant United States Attorney

7 /s/ Ford Greene  
8 FORD GREENE  
9 Attorney for Defendant Bryan Adams


10 /s/ James Bustamante  
11 JAMES BUSTAMANTE  
12 Attorney for Defendant Bryan Adams

13 ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

14 For the reasons stated above, the Court continues the case from March <sup>27</sup>~~26~~, 2018 to April 3, 2018  
15 and finds that the exclusion of time from March <sup>27</sup>~~26~~, 2018 to April 3, 2018 is warranted and that the ends  
16 of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a  
17 speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny  
18 effective preparation of counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161  
19 (h)(7)(B)(iv).

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21  
22 DATED: 3/27/18

23   
24 HON. RICHARD SEEBORG  
25 United States District Court Judge  
26  
27  
28